

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,376	05/10/2001	Eric A. Jacobsen	884.427US1	5426	
21186 75	590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMA	N, LUNDBERG, W	LY, ANH VU H			
P.O. BOX 2938	3				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2616		

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				0		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0.00		
Office Astion Commission		09/852,376	JACOBSEN, ERIC A.			
Οπιсе Α	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
_		Anh-Vu H. Ly	2616			
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	DNGER, FROM THE MAILING I be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 om the mailing date of this communication. Specified above, the maximum statutory period as set or extended period for reply will, by statu	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE ng date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
_	o communication(s) filed on 23 i	February 2006				
2a) ☐ This action is		is action is non-final.				
·—	,	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits	is		
-		Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		,-		
Disposition of Claims						
<u> </u>		^				
	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) ☐ Claim(s)		awii iioiii consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u>						
· · · · —	3 and 25-29 is/are objected to.					
	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		·	•			
Application Papers	•					
·	tion is objected to by the Examin					
		cepted or b) objected to by the				
1.		e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob				
ii) ine oath or d	eciaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action of John F 10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119	•	•			
<i>'</i> —	nent is made of a claim for foreig Some * c)⊡ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certifie	ed copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No			
3. Copies	of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
applica	ation from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
* See the attach	ed detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
			•			
Attachment(=)		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References	Cited (DTO-802)	4) Interview Summary	: (PTO-413)			
	oled (P10-892) I's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) [] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
, =		—	•			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 23, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-18 and 25-29 objected to because of the following informalities:

With respect to claim 1, in line 1, the recitation "for performing" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 7, in lines 3, 5, 7, and 10, the recitation "for receiving", "for extracting", "for acquiring", or "for performing" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 8, in line 2, the recitation "for performing" or "for calculating" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 9, in lines 2, 4, and 5, the recitation "for acquiring" or "for calculating" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2616

does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 14, in lines 2-3, the recitation "for acquiring" or "for selectively retrieving" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claims 15-16, in line 2, the recitation "for extracting" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 17, in line 2, the recitation "for acquiring" or "for performing" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 18, in line 2, the recitation "for processing" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

With respect to claim 25, in line 2, the recitation "for implementing" is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Therefore, it does not limit a claim to a particular structure and does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

Other pending claims are automatically objected to as they depend upon objected independent claims 1, 7, and 25.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kadous (US 2001/0036235 A1).

With respect to claims 1, 7, 8, 19, and 25, Kadous discloses a method for performing channel estimation within a communication system implementing OFDM (Fig. 5) comprising:

receiving an OFDM symbol from a communication channel (Fig. 1, received signal r(t)), said OFDM symbol having a plurality of data subcarriers and a plurality of pilot symbols (It should be understood that in an OFDM system, a signal r(t) comprises a plurality of data symbols modulated by different subcarriers and a plurality of pilot symbols);

identifying subcarriers of interest, wherein the subcarriers of interest are a subset of the plurality of data subcarriers (page 3, 32nd paragraph and Fig. 2, the channel estimate decoupler 58 then decouples the LS channel estimate for each channel received over a separate antenna if more than one transmitting antenna is being used, i.e., over each of a plurality of antennas. Herein, particular interested subcarriers are identified);

Art Unit: 2616

generating a pilot vector using pilot symbols from said OFDM symbol (page 3, 32nd paragraph and Fig. 2, the least square (LS) channel estimate is then determined by performing division on the training sequence in LS estimator 56);

obtaining a first interpolation vector corresponding to a first subscarrier of interest from a plurality of stored interpolation vectors (page 5, 68th paragraph, the coefficient interpolator and channel estimator 60 then would use these coefficients to interpolate the LS channel estimate. It is also to be noted that the same coefficients are to be used every burst, so the coefficient interpolator and channel estimator need not to calculate NXN matrix every burst. This implies that these coefficients must be stored and retrieved every time it needs to multiply with LS channel estimate); and

calculating a dot product of said pilot vector and said first interpolation vector to generate an equalization coefficient for said first subcarrier of interest (page 5, 75th paragraph, a complex matrix-vector multiplication is performed by multiplying the LS channel estimates and interpolating coefficients to estimate each channel).

With respect to claim 2, 9, 17, 24, and 29, Kadous discloses obtaining an interpolation vector corresponding to each subcarrier of interest (Fig. 3); and calculating a dot product of pilot vector and interpolation vector for each subcarrier of interest to generate an equalization coefficient for each subcarrier of interest (page 5, 75th paragraph, a complex matrix-vector multiplication is performed by multiplying the LS channel estimates and interpolating coefficients to estimate each channel).

Art Unit: 2616

With respect to claims 3, 16, 21, and 27, Kadous discloses generating a pilot vector includes selecting a set of pilot symbols from OFDM symbol based upon the identities of said subcarriers of interest (page 3, 32nd paragraph and Fig. 2, that the least square (LS) channel estimate is then determined by performing division on the training sequence in LS estimator 56. The channel estimate decoupler 58 then decouples the LS channel estimate for each channel received over a separate antenna if more than one transmitting antenna is being used, i.e., over each of a plurality of antennas.).

With respect to claims 4, 15, and 22, Kadous discloses generating a pilot vector includes using all pilot symbols within OFDM symbol (page 3, 32nd paragraph and Fig. 2, that the least square (LS) channel estimate is then determined by performing division on the training sequence in LS estimator 56).

With respect to claims 5, 14, 18, 23, and 28, Kadous discloses obtaining a first interpolation vector includes selectively retrieving first interpolation vector from a memory (page 5, 68th paragraph, the coefficient interpolator and channel estimator 60 then would use these coefficients to interpolate the LS channel estimate. It is also to be noted that the same coefficients are to be used every burst, so the coefficient interpolator and channel estimator need not to calculate NXN matrix every burst. This implies that these coefficients must be stored and retrieved every time it needs to multiply with LS channel estimate);

Art Unit: 2616

With respect to claims 6, 10, 20, and 26, Kadous discloses identifying subcarriers of interest includes identifying subcarriers associated with a first user within the communication system (page 3, 32nd paragraph and Fig. 2, the channel estimate decoupler 58 then decouples the LS channel estimate for each channel received over a separate antenna if more than one transmitting antenna is being used, i.e., over each of a plurality of antennas. Herein, particular interested subcarriers are identified).

With respect to claims 11-13, Kadous discloses that communication device is a portable communicator, a base station, or a wireless OFDM transceiver (Fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in page 8 that Kadous does not disclose receiving an OFDM symbol from a communication channel, said OFDM symbol having a plurality of data subcarriers and a plurality of pilot symbols, and identifying subcarriers of interest, wherein the subcarriers of interest are a subset of the plurality of data subcarriers. Examiner respectively disagrees. First of all, Kadous discloses in Fig. 1, a receiver for estimating channels in OFDM communication systems by receiving an inputted signal r(t). It is known in OFDM system, data is modulated by a plurality of subcarriers and the first subcarrier in each group of subcarriers among a plurality of groups contains a pilot symbol. Further, Kadous discloses that the channel estimate decoupler 58 then decouples the LS channel estimate for each channel received over a separate antenna if

Art Unit: 2616

more than one transmitting antenna is being used, i.e., over each of a plurality of antennas. Herein, particular interested subcarriers are identified (page 3, 32nd paragraph).

Applicant further argues in page 9 that Kadous does not disclose obtaining a first interpolation vector corresponding to a first subcarrier of interest from a plurality of stored interpolation vectors. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kadous discloses that the coefficient interpolator and channel estimator 60 then would use these coefficients to interpolate the LS channel estimate. It is also to be noted that the same coefficients are to be used every burst, so the coefficient interpolator and channel estimator need not to calculate NXN matrix every burst. This implies that these coefficients must be stored and retrieved every time it needs to multiply with LS channel estimate for each interested channel or interested subcarrier (page 5, 68th paragraph).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H. Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl